



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,020	07/24/2003	Celite Milbrandt	062891.1149	9959
5073	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2614	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/626,020 Examiner Quoc D. Tran	Applicant(s) MILBRANDT, CELITE Art Unit				
Examiner Quoc D. Tran					
Quoc D. Tran	Art Unit				
	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time If apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
y 2003. action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro c parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45					
Disposition of Claims					
election requirement. accepted or b) objected to be rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time If apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed attention is non-final. The except for formal matters, process parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 and from consideration. The accepted or b) objected to be the prawing of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the attached of fice the process of the drawing of				

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,603. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-41 of present application is similar but broaden in scope than claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,603 with obvious wording variations. For example:

Regarding claims 1, 10, 20, 29, 40 and 41, the of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,603 claimed for a system and method for determining the transmit power of a communication device operating on a twisted pair subscriber line, comprising: a communication server coupled to a first subscriber line and a second subscriber line, the communication server comprising a communication device operable to communicate a signal using the first subscriber line; a memory coupled to the

Application/Control Number: 10/626,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

communication server and storing noise information and cross-channel-coupling information for the first subscriber line and the second subscriber line; and a processor coupled to the memory and operable to determine the transmit power of the communication device based upon the noise information and the cross-channel-coupling information.

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 18, 2006